

Nomenclature and typification of Cathaya argyrophylla (Pinaceae)

Chao Tan¹, David E. Boufford², Yong Yang¹

I Co-Innovation Center for Sustainable Forestry in Southern China, Key Laboratory of State Forestry and Grassland Administration on Subtropical Forest Biodiversity Conservation, College of Biology and Environment, Nanjing Forestry University, 159 Longpan Road, Nanjing 210037, China **2** Harvard University Herbaria, 22 Divinity Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Corresponding author: Yong Yang (yangyong@njfu.edu.cn)

Academic editor: D. Stevenson | Received 15 October 2022 | Accepted 27 November 2022 | Published 15 December 2022

Citation: Tan C, Boufford DE, Yang Y (2022) Nomenclature and typification of *Cathaya argyrophylla* (Pinaceae). PhytoKeys 215: 81–85. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.215.96362

Abstract

In 1958, Chun and Kuang described *Cathaya* Chun & Kuang as a new genus of Pinaceae. They included one fossil species, *C. loehri* (Engelh. & Kink.) Chun & Kuang and two extant species, *C. argyrophylla* Chun & Kuang and *C. nanchuanensis* Chun & Kuang. Under Art. 40.1 of the Shenzhen Code, they did not validly publish *Cathaya* because they did not designate a type species for the generic name. Four years later (Chun and Kuang 1962), they again published on *Cathaya* (in Chinese) where they cited the 1958 publication and included one species *C. argyrophylla* (with *C. nanchuanensis* in synonymy) under *Cathaya*. According to Art. 40.3, they validated both the generic name *Cathaya* and *C. argyrophylla* in 1962. Further examination of the type collection and botanical history of the discovery of *C. argyrophylla* revealed that the type collection *Guang-Fu-Lin-Qu Exped. 00198* consists of 11 duplicates in the South China Institute of Botany (IBSC) and 9 duplicates in other herbaria (GAC, IBK, PE, SZ) and that the intended type specimen (IBSC0000004) consists of two gatherings: the bark, reproductive shoot and seed cones collected in 1955, whereas pollen-bearing cones were collected in 1956. We thus lectotypify the name *C. argyrophylla* with the specimen *Guang-Fu-Lin-Qu Exped. 00198* (IBSC0000004 excl. pollen-bearing cones).

Keywords

Cathaya argyrophylla, gathering, nomenclature, Pinaceae, Shenzhen Code, typification

Cathaya argyrophylla Chun & Kuang is the sole extant species of Cathaya Chun & Kuang (Pinaceae). The genus was widely distributed during the Tertiary (Wang et

al. 1998; Liu and Basinger 2000), but is presently relictual and locally restricted in Guangxi, Chongqing, Hunan and Guizhou provinces, China, perhaps due in part to unfavorable conditions brought about by the Quaternary glaciations (Liu and Basinger 2000; Manchester et al. 2009). *Cathaya argyrophylla* is represented in nature by fewer than 5,000 living individuals and is considered to be Vulnerable (VU, Qin et al. 2017; Yang 2021). It is listed under the first class of protection in the recently released National Key Protected Wild Plant Species (http://www.forestry.gov.cn/main/3954/2021 0908/163949170374051.html, accessed 26 August 2022; Yang et al. 2021).

In 1955, J. X. Zhong led a group of botanists to collect an unusual conifer in Guang-Fu-Lin-Qu in Longsheng Xian, Guangxi Province, China (Fu and Cheng 1981, Fu 1998). Specimens with seed-bearing cones were collected on 16 May 1955; pollen-bearing cones were collected in the following year, 1956 (Fu and Cheng 1981; Fu 1998). Chun and Kuang (1958) determined that the collections represented both an undescribed genus and an undescribed species. They examined herbarium specimens deposited in PE and found that similar specimens had been collected in Nanchuan Co., Chongqing, China (Fu and Cheng 1981; Fu 1998). In reporting their discovery, Chun and Kuang (1958) described the new genus Cathaya Chun & Kuang with two extant new species, C. argyrophylla and C. nanchuanensis, and made one new combination to include a fossil species. Under Article 40.1 of the Shenzhen Code, the generic name Cathaya, however, was not validly published because they included three species within the genus, but did not designate a type for the generic name (Doweld 2016, 2018). The two specific names were not validly published because of the invalid status of the generic name. When Chun and Kuang (1962) introduced the species to Chinese audiences, they fully cited the Latin description from their earlier paper (Chun and Kuang 1958) for both the generic and specific names and cited only one species, C. argyrophylla, under the genus name Cathaya. Under Art. 40.3, they validly published Cathaya and C. argyrophylla at the same time. However, one year earlier, in 1961, the Russian botanist, Karavaev, published Cathaya Karav. as the generic name of a fossil plant (Karavaev 1961; Doweld 2016, 2018). When this was noticed, Doweld (2016) proposed conserving the name of the living Cathaya of Chun and Kuang against the fossil homonym Cathaya Karav. The proposal was approved, and Cathaya Chun & Kuang is now a conserved name (appendix III, Turland et al. 2018).

A further complication, however, was recently discovered. Chun and Kuang (1958, 1962) designated Guang-Fu-Lin-Qu Exped. (as 'Expeditionis Kwangfu Lingchu') 00198 (IBSC) as the type of C. argyrophylla. We examined the collection and found 11 duplicates of 'Expeditionis Kwangfu Lingchu') 00198 in IBSC. Under Art. 40.2 Note 1, these duplicates should be considered as syntypes (duplicates in other herbaria are considered isosyntypes). Of the 11 duplicates, IBSC0000004 (Fig. 1) bears the annotation 'TYPUS' and was published as Plate VIII in the paper of 1958. We consider that it was Chun and Kuang's (1958) intention to indicate this specimen as the type. In examining the specimen further, we found that it includes reproductive shoots of seed-bearing cones, seeds in bags, bark, pollen-bearing cones in a bag and a branch bearing a pollen cone. Prof. L.K. Fu, a retired gymnosperm specialist in China, commented that the seed-bearing cones were collected in May, 1955, while the pollen-bearing cones were collected in 1956



Figure 1. Lectotype of *Cathaya argyrophylla* Chun & Kuang: *Guang-Fu-Lin-Qu Exped. 00198* (IBSC0000004 excluding the pollen-bearing cones in the bag and the adjacent lower left branch with a pollen-bearing cone).

(Fu and Cheng 1981; Fu 1998). Based on these clues, we consider that IBSC0000004 consists of two gatherings, pollen-bearing cones collected in 1956 and other parts of the plant collected in 1955, but which were later mounted on a single herbarium sheet. Here we lectotypify the name *C. argyrophylla* Chun & Kuang with IBSC0000004, excluding the branch with pollen-bearing cones and the bag containing two pollen-bearing cones.

Typification

Cathaya Chun & Kuang, Acta Bot. Sin. 10: 245. Sep 1962 [Pin. / Pin.], nom. cons.

Type species. *C. argyrophylla* Chun & Kuang.

Cathaya argyrophylla Chun & Kuang, Acta Bot. Sin. 10: 245. Sep 1962.

Type. China. Guangxi, Longsheng Xian., alt. 1400 m, on sunny rocky slopes, 16 May 1955, *Guang-Fu-Lin-Qu 00198* (lectotype: IBSC0000004 excl. pollen-bearing cones, photo!, here designated; isolectotypes: GAC0005145 photo!, IBK00200027 photo!, IBK00190034 photo!, IBK00190035 photo!, IBK00190036 photo!, IBSC0000005, IBSC0011250, IBSC0003243 photo!, IBSC0003246 photo!, IBSC0003247, IBSC0003248 photo!, IBSC0003249 photo!, IBSC0003250 photo!, IBSC0003251 photo!, IBSC0003252 photo!, PE00000497 photo!, PE00000498 photo!, SYS00095331 photo!, SZ00004502 photo!).

Note. Photos are in Lin (2014, citing PE00000497) and in the Chinese Virtual Herbarium (2022).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Chinese Virtual Herbarium (CVH, https://www.cvh.ac.cn/) for ensuring the availability of digitized specimens. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (award no. 31970205) and the *Metasequoia* fund of Nanjing Forestry University.

References

Chinese Virtual Herbarium (2022) Chinese Virtual Herbarium. https://www.cvh.ac.cn/ [accessed 26 August 2022]

Chun WY, Kuang KZ (1958) New genus *Cathaya* Chun et Kuang gen. nov. from southern and western China. [Novyj rod Pinaceae *Cathaya* Chun et Kuang gen. nov. iz juzhnogo i zapadnogo Kitaya.] Botanicheskii Zhurnal 43: 461–470. [in Russian]

- Chun WY, Kuang KZ (1962) De genere *Cathaya* Chun et Kuang. Acta Botanica Sinica 10: 245–246.
- Doweld AB (2016) [2420] Proposal to conserve the name *Cathaya* Chun & Kuang against *Cathaya* Karav. (Gymnospermae: Pinales). Taxon 65(1): 187–188. https://doi.org/10.12705/651.20
- Doweld AB (2018) On *Cathaya*, living and fossil (Pinaceae). Taxon 67(1): 196–202. https://doi.org/10.12705/671.14
- Fu LK (1998) The immense forest presenting an extraordinary spendour: Whole story on the discovery and naming of *Cathaya argyrophylla*. Zhi Wu Za Zhi 1998: 42–43.
- Fu LK, Cheng SZ (1981) The discovery and naming of *Cathaya argyrophylla*. Zhi Wu Za Zhi 1981: 42–43.
- Karavaev MN (1961) [as "1960"] Two new coniferous species *Cathaya jacutica* m. sp. nov. and *Pinus sukaczewii* m. sp. nov. discovered in the Tertiary deposits of Central Yakutia [Два новых вида хвойных *Cathaya jacutica* m. и *Pinus sukaczewii* m. из третичных отложений Центральной Якутии]. Trudy Moskovskogo Obshchestva Ispytatelej Priody 3: 127–130. http://fossilplants.info/publications/B9B56BE0-1CE8-4FE7-95BF-9B950A784A81 [In Russian with English summary]
- Lin Q (2014) Type Specimens in China National Herbarium (PE) (vol. 3). Pteridophyta (3) Gymnospermae. Zhengzhou: Henan Science & Technology Press.
- Liu YS, Basinger JF (2000) Fossil *Cathaya* (Pinaceae) pollen from the Canadian High Arctic. International Journal of Plant Sciences 161(5): 829–847. https://doi.org/10.1086/314296
- Manchester SR, Chen ZD, Lu AM, Uemura K (2009) Eastern Asian endemic seed plant genera and their paleogeographic history throughout the Northern Hemisphere. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 47(1): 1–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1759-6831.2009.00001.x
- Qin HN, Yang Y, Dong SY, He Q, Jia Y, Zhao LN, Yu SX, Liu HY, Liu B, Yan YH, Xiang JY, Xia NH, Peng H, Li ZY, Zhang ZX, He XJ, Yin LK, Lin YL, Liu QR, Hou YT, Liu Y, Liu QX, Cao W, Li JQ, Chen SL, Jin XH, Gao TG, Chen WL, Ma HY, Geng YY, Jin XF, Chang CY, Jiang H, Cai L, Zang CX, Wu JY, Ye JF, Lai YJ, Liu B, Lin QW, Xue NX (2017) Threatened species list of China's higher plants. Biodiversity Science 25(7): 696–744. https://doi.org/10.17520/biods.2017144 [in Chinese]
- Turland NJ, Wiersema JH, Barrie FR, Greuter W, Hawksworth DL, Herendeen PS, Knapp S, Kusber WH, Li DZ, Marhold K, May TW, McNeill J, Monro AM, Prado J, Price MJ, Smith GF [Eds] (2018) International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017. Regnum Vegetabile 159. Koeltz Botanical Books, Glashütten. https://doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018
- Wang XQ, Han Y, Hong DY (1998) A molecular systematic study of *Cathaya*, a relict genus of the Pinaceae in China. Plant Systematics and Evolution 213(3–4): 165–172. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00985197
- Yang Y (2021) An updated red list assessment of gymnosperms from China (Version 2021). Biodiversity Science 29(12): 1599–1606. https://doi.org/10.17520/biods.2021342
- Yang Y, Tan C, Yang Z (2021) Conservation of gymnosperms in China: Perspectives from the List of National Key Protected Wild Plants. Biodiversity Science 29(12): 1591–1598. https://doi.org/10.17520/biods.2021403